MAY 0 1 2006

CEB



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bon 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/08/2003	Kevin R. Seifert	P-11309.00	2921	
90 04/28/2006		EXAMINER		
C, INC.		BOCKELMA	BOCKELMAN, MARK	
VIC PARK		ART LINIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 33432-9924		3766		
	98/08/2003 90 04/28/2006 C, INC.	08/08/2003 Kevin R. Seifert  90 04/28/2006  C, INC.  VIC PARK	08/08/2003 Kevin R. Seifert P-11309.00  90 04/28/2006 EXAM C, INC. BOCKELMA NIC PARK S, MN 55432-9924 ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CONCONSTE DATEST DEDATES

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/637,160	SEIFERT ET AL.			
med view Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Mark W. Bockelman.	(3)				
(2) Office of Michael Soldner.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 24 April 2006.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative)					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Cłaim(s) discussed: <u>None</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The response filed 3-31-2006 was incorrectly listed in the palm system as an after final response and thus the advisory action mailed 4-7-2006 should not have been mailed. Applicant will submit a request for reconsideration of the 3-31-2006 amendment to which the examiner will properly address the submission.</u>					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
		_			
	MI	(1)			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20060424